

From: [POULSEN Mike](#)
To: [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Cc: [Dana Davoli/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Subject: RE: Draft of Diver Scenario for Kennedy -Jenks
Date: 09/25/2006 10:26 AM

Eric -

I think Dana's point was to make this a communication between the HHRA technical groups, without involving the PMs. But it's your call, and I'll happily go along with whatever your decision is. You can send it, or maybe have Joe send it as a risk assessor.

If I get any comments from the team by tomorrow, I'll send them along.

- Mike

-----Original Message-----

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, September 25, 2006 8:29 AM
To: Davoli.Dana@epamail.epa.gov
Cc: blischke.eric@epamail.epa.gov; davoli.dana@epamail.epa.gov;
humphrey.chip@epamail.epa.gov; TOEPEL Kathryn; pj.bridgen@envintl.com;
pj.bridgen@eiltld.net; POULSEN Mike; Sheldrake.Sean@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Re: Draft of Diver Scenario for Kennedy -Jenks

I think this is an appropriate way to proceed. The only change I propose is that EPA forward the draft diver scenario instead of Mike.

Eric

Dana Davoli/R10/USEPA /US		To
09/22/2006 12:52 PM	humphrey.chip@epamail.epa.gov, blischke.eric@epa.gov, poulsen.mike@deq.state.or.us	
	davoli.dana@epa.gov, kathryn.toepel@state.or.us, pj.bridgen@eiltld.net, pj.bridgen@envintl.com, Sean Sheldrake/R10/USEPA/US@EPA	cc
	Draft of Diver Scenario for Kennedy -Jenks	Subject

Attached is the draft of the diver scenario that I would like to send to Kennedy-Jenks as an informal submittal. That is, it will go out from our workgroup to K-J rather than from Chip and Eric to the LWG. I have hopefully incorporated everyone's comments. If you have time, please look it over one last time and then send any changes to Mike Poulsen (by 9/26) as I will be out of town at a Tribal Science Council meeting all of next week. Mike has agreed to send it to Laura Kennedy and Taku Fuji. When I return, I would like to have a call with K-J to get their initial reaction and to see how far apart we might be on this. Laura thought that they would not have time to incorporate this into the Rd 2 report (i.e., to use it to develop PRGs and select AOPCs), but I am hoping they could do something semi-quantitative (like a comparison with the other in-water scenarios), but we can talk more about that.

CHIP AND ERIC, I HAVE NOT HEARD BACK FROM YOU IF THIS IS AN OK WAY TO PROCEED. IF IT IS, PLEASE LET MIKE KNOW SO HE CAN SEND THESE T. IF
L ME ON MY CELL AND WE CAN TALK ABOUT IT NEXT WEEK. (b) (6)

(See attached file: 20060922 Attachment A No Name Dive Log RSS Portland Harbor.xls)(See attached file: 20060922 Draft for PH Diver Exposure .doc)

I have attached language for the cover e-mail:

"Laura, attached is the draft diver scenario that Dana discussed with you last week. The EPA-partner human health risk assessment group is sending this to you for an "informal" review. This scenario and the exposure factors that we used were based upon input from Region 10 divers (including Sean Sheldrake and Joe Goulet) as well as from commercial divers.

(b) (6) so I agreed to send it for her. We hope we can talk with you and Taku about it during the latter part of the week of October 2. Please let us know what days are good for you and Taku during that week."